



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/939,927	09/29/97	SCHUERMAN		L.	278-001
		QM51/0728	٦	EXAMINER	
HALVORSON & VENABLE		and the dr. 7 to 7 de les		WALCZAK,D	
3030 N. CEI STE 707	NTRAL AVE			ART UNIT	,PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX AZ	85012			3751	2
				DATE MAILED:	07/28/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/939,927

Applicant(s)

Schuerman

Examiner

David J. Walczak

Group Art Unit 3751



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 29, 1997	THE COLUMN TWO IS A COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TWO IS A COLUMN
☐ This action is FINAL .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forma in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of t 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e <u>three</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-20	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed
☑ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-12, and 15	is/are released
X Claim(s) 6-8, 13, 14, and 16-20	is/are rejected.
✓ Claim(s) <u>6-8, 13, 14, and 16-20</u>☐ Claims ar	is/are objected to.
Application Papers	e subject to restriction or election requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	
The drawing(s) filed on <u>Sep 29, 1997</u> is/are objected to by	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by	y the Examiner.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	s Lapproved Edisapproved.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 25	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
received.	ority documents have been
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the Internati	ional Ruraau (PCT Rula 17 2/a))
*Certified copies not received:	ond borodd it of fidic 17.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
ttachment(s)	
■ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	2
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	OWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/939,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because phrases which can be implied, such as "The present invention is" should not be present therein. Correction is required. See MPEP, § 608.01(b).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the structure defined in claims 6 and 20 (i.e., no Figure shows the structure defined in claims 6 and 20 in combination with the structure defined in claims 5 and 19, respectively) must be shown or the appropriate features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The embodiment disclosed in Figure 2 is not described in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Serial Number: 08/939,927 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bosic. In regard to claim 1, the Bosic reference discloses a device for containing items therein comprised of a lower structure 12 defining a pocket and an attachment structure 22 attached to the lower structure and having an aperture (through the ring hanging from element 32) adapted to receive a projection. It is noted that the statements of intended use do not lend any patentable structure to the claims. In regard to claims 2 and 9, the attachment structure includes a flap 22 attached at a first end 24 to the lower structure and having the ring with the aperture therethrough attached to the second end.
- 6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marcalus. In regard to claim 15, the Marcalus reference discloses a lower structure 18, an attachment structure 27 having an aperture 30 and an adapter plug 31.

Serial Number: 08/939,927 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3-5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosic in view of Marcalus. In regard to claims 3 and 10, although the lower structure does not include a sidewall connecting front and back sections, as claimed, attention is directed to the Marcalus reference, which discloses another carrier wherein front and back panels are connected by a side wall in order to create a larger container. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to structure the container in the Bosic device such that it has front, back and sidewalls in order to increase the capacity of the container. In regard to claim 4, the attachment structure has a fastening structure 24 which "releasibly" secures the flap 22 to the lower structure. In regard to claims 5 and 11, the lower structure includes strap attachment structures 16 whereby straps 14 are secured to the structures 16 via a "securing structure". In regard to claim 12, the flap 22 is attached at a first end to the lower structure and to an aperture structure (the ring) at a second end wherein the aperture structure contains the aperture.

Serial Number: 08/939,927

Art Unit: 3751

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 6-8, 13, 14 and 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The Mach reference is cited for disclosing another container which hangs from a

vehicle accessory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Dave Walczak whose telephone number is (703) 308-0608.

D Walczak

July 22, 1998

DAVID J. WAŁCZAK RIMARY EXAMINER Page 5